

### Presentation Criteria and Rubric

Aspect	Unacceptable	Basic	Exceptional
Written Discovery	Unclear or Plagiarized	Accurate, appropriate length	Insightful, well-worded
Technical Description	Inaccurate or garbled	Clear and correct	Creative and with a “hook”
Sources	Unreliable, poorly cited	Carefully cited and reputable	Interesting, with depth and variety
Narrative Completeness	Does not provide the significance of the work	Brief background, methods of work, Summary of issues, impediments met, connections to broad topics	Insightful links to other topics encountered in class.
Standard addressed	No explanation of which standard	Identification of standard	Standard explicitly explained, cross-linked if possible.
Story-telling Style	Read from a narrative	Spoke clearly	Spoke audibly and expressively
Presentation	Unprofessional appearance	Tidy, professional	Stylish and topic-informed presentation design
Pace	Rushing or pausing and disorganized	Clear and comprehensible. Appropriate length	Nicely paced and appropriate length
Language	Jargon-y or slang-y	Simple and accurate	Vivid but professional vocabulary
Time	More than 10% too long or short	Close to the target time length, with good pacing	Target time with good pacing
Ttechnical	Confused or absent statistics	Accurate explanation	Clear and novel explanation
Engagement	Read, with no eye contact	Spoke, with eye contact	Connected meaningfully with audience